App F. IX-4

Previous References

193 -32
(mc)

File No. 250-31

Serial Nos. 8, 23453

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 3

Part B for Aug 32

SUBJECT.

Interpellation in the L.C. of June 32. by the Hon'ble Srinivasa Iyengar re the Grant of licenses to Messrs. Bheemoji Rowt Parasuram of Kankhankalu mely for Constructing houses

---

Aug 32

Re. 250—31—8

4672

THE MYSORE LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL.

Budget Session — June 1932.

Question No: 19

Mr. Belur Srinivasa Iyengar.

Will the Government be pleased to state:

(a) In the article published in "Lokanitrenani" dated 20th January 1932 about Kankanhalli Municipality, it is stated that Messrs. Bheemoji Rao and K.P. Parasiviah had applied for house building license and the former has been asked to leave a margin of 50 ft. and the latter only 5 ft. Is this a fact? If it is a fact what is this difference due to?

(b) Has a town plan been prepared for Kankanhalli Municipality; if so, is there any Municipal drain running near the house which Mr. K.P. Parasiviah is constructing; what margin has been left between the house and the drain; is this in accordance with the rules?

(c) is it also a fact that the house that is now being built is an extension of the chattram built in the name of Gurukar Channabasappa;

(d) have not the Municipal council shown some concession for building this chattram as it was meant for public use?

(e) is it a fact that the Municipal President has an intention to occupy the above building now?



Submitted with a draft for approval

30/5

Issued

Recd 31/5

Secy No L 7998 dated 21-5-32 31/5

To the D C Bangalore Dt

Calls for D's replies to the above



Replies have not been rec'd so far

Subd. with a D O reminder

Issued

Issued No L 8218 dated 11-6-32

General and Revenue Secretariat

Interpellation No 19

M File No 4672 & 4949/Z

250 of 1931

[App F III—2

Serial No 53

Letter No C 2-289-II Q. 31-32 dated the 13th June 1932 from the D.C. Bangalore Dt., furnishing the draft replies to the above Interpellation

The adoption of the draft replies is for orders. Some pencil alterations in pencil are indicated

17/6

(Sen' Secy) 17/6

Rejoinder Rej 20/6/32

Issue 21/6

GENERAL SECRETARY 17 JUN 32 No. 9584

OFFICE OF THE HON'BLE MEMBER OF COUNCIL 21 JUN 1932 No. 10761

Copies taken for Genl Section

GENL & REV SECTION 22 JUN 1932

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 70—GBPM—60 000—13 8 31

File No | of 193 | General and Revenue Secretariat

THE MYSORE LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL,

June 1932.
Interpellation No 19
By Mr. Belur Srinivasa Iyengar -

Will the Government be pleased to state.-

(a) In the article published in 'Lokahitheshi' dated 20th January 1932, about Kankanhalli Municipality, it is stated that Messrs. Bheemoji Rao and K.P. Parasiviah had applied for house building license and the former has been asked to leave a margin of 50 ft. and the latter only 5 ft.; (i) is this a fact; (ii) if it is a fact, what is this difference due to?	(i) Yes. (ii) The difference is stated to be due to the fact that in the case of Mr. Parasiviah, the construction authorised was in respect of a compound wall and not of a house.
(b) Has a town plan been prepared for Kankanhalli Municipality; if so, (ii) is there any Municipal drain running near the house which Mr. K.P. Parasiviah is constructing; (iii) what margin has been left between the house and the drain; (iv) is this in accordance with the rules?	(i) Yes. (ii) Yes. (iii) 8 feet. (iv) Yes.
(c) Is it also a fact that the house that is now being built is an extension of the Chattram built in the name of Guruker Channabasappa?	Information is not available in the matter.
(d) Have not the Municipal Council shown some concession for building this Chattram as it was meant for public use?	Government have no information
(e) Is it a fact that the Municipal President has an intention to occupy the above building now?	The President is reported to be living in it.

- - - - -

Asubba Rao
For General Secretary to Government

9/6/32

BNL.4.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

Section Ml

File No 250—31

Serial No 23

Diary No of letter replied to

No L 7998/One dt 31-5-32

To

The Dc Bangalore Dt

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Sir,

Date of issue 31-5-32

I am directed to forward herewith copy of Interpellation No 19 (by Mr Belur Srinivasa Iyengar) ~~proposed for being~~ to be answered at the ensuing session of the Legislative Council ~~of June 1932~~ regarding certain allegations appearing ~~contained in the issue of~~ The Kokanthaman dated 20/3 ~~against the President of~~ the Kankanhalli Municipality and to request you to be so good as to furnish draft reply ~~on all the points~~ before the 8th instant

Signed by Mr A Subba Rao

on the subject of the paving bundary lanes in

List of enclosures

Copied by 31/5/32

Examined by

Wants within a week

I have etc

for General Secretary to Govt

WD 71—GBPM—40 000—20 7 31

4949

The Mysore Legislative Council - June 1932.
Interpellation No.19.

By Mr. Belur Srinivasa Iyengar:--

Will the Government be pleased to state:--

(a) In the article published in "Lokahitheshi" dated 20th January 1932, about Kankanhalli Municipality, it is stated that Messrs. Bheemaji Rao and K.P. Parasiviah had applied for house building license and the former has been asked to leave a margin of 50 ft. and the latter only 5 ft., is (i) this a fact, if it is a fact, (ii) what is this difference due to?

(i) Yes. (ii) The difference in the case of Mr. Parasiviah, the construction authorised was in respect of a compound wall and not of a house.

(b) Has a town plan been prepared for Kankanhalli Municipality, if so, (i) is there any Municipal drain running near the house which Mr.K.P. Parasiviah is constructing, what (ii) margin has been left between the (iii) house and the drain, is this in (iv) accordance with the rules?

(i) Yes. A town plan is prepared. There is a Municipal drain running by the eastern side of the house constructed by Mr. Parasiviah. A margin of 8 feet is left from this drain (ii) 8 feet (iii) This is in accordance with the rules as the road running (iv) Yes there is only a Municipal road.

(c) Is it also a fact that the house that is now being built is an extension of the Chattram built in the name of Gurukar Channabasappa?

~~Government are not aware of it.~~ From enquiries it is not as alleged in the question.

(d) Have not the Municipal Council shown some concession for building this Chattram as it was meant for public use?

No, the building has been assessed to a tax of Rs.20/- per year. [illegible]

(e) Is it a fact that the Municipal President has an intention to occupy the above building now?

The President is living in it.

For Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District.
(Absent from station.)